# Optimization of Power and Area in Low-Noise CMOS Bio-Signal Amplifiers

I. Madadi, S. Jafarabadi Ashtiani and N. Masoumi

Abstract: Circuit size and power consumption are the most important parameters in integrated bio-potential signal amplifier with low-noise and low-bandwidth requirements. In this paper, we present an analytical method for optimizing differential amplifiers for constant inputreferred noise levels. Power-area optimization is performed over 1mHz to 10kHz for a differential amplifier, designed in a 0.18µm CMOS technology. The designed amplifier consumes 22µA from a 1.8V power supply and the total equivalent input-referred noise over the mentioned bandwidth is equal to  $2.4\mu V_{rms}$ . The results, validated by SPICE circuit simulations, indicate that the amplifier can be optimized for a specific input-referred RMS noise level.

**Keywords:** Bio-signal amplifiers, MOSFET, Flicker noise, thermal noise, optimization.

#### 1. Introduction

There is an increasing demand for small, low-power and low-noise bio-potential signal amplifiers [1-2]. The crucial issues in acquisition of bio-potential signals are associated with the characteristics of these signals. Electrocardiogram (ECG), Electroencephalogram (EEG) and Electromyogram (EMG) are the most important types of bio-potential signals. The amplitudes of these signals are from few  $\mu V$  to a few tens of mV and their frequency ranges are from near DC to a few kHz.

In conventional bio-signal amplifiers, receiving biopotential signals are not very crucial when acquirers are supplied from the main power because there is no limitation for power consumption and size of the system. These biosignal amplifiers are mainly used in clinical locations and their applications are mainly restricted to clinical purposes.

A number of new applications in receiving bio-signals are introduced recently such as brain-computer interface, self-improvement of stress, controlling the behavior, and implanted integrated circuits (ICs).In order to popularize these new applications, it is required to design low-cost and low-noise integrated analog front-end circuits that can be used in portable battery-operated systems. Amplifiers are

Manuscript received November 20, 2012, revised March 13, 2013.

Madadi, S. Jafar Abadi and A. N. Masoumi are with the School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Tehran, Iran.

The correspondent author's email is: sashtiani@ut.ac.ir

the key elements of any low-noise, low-power bio-signal integrated analog front-end. Therefore, developing a systematic design is crucial to achieve the required noise, power, and circuit size.

There are lots of methods to design low-noise, lowpower analog amplifiers in CMOS technology. Atrade-off based on current consumption, and inversion coefficient was presented in [3].A design methodology based on optimizing the g<sub>m</sub>/I<sub>d</sub> factor for designing gain boosted amplifiers was presented in [4]. The same methodology was used to design amplifier parameters in [5]. Another  $g_m/I_d$ design method has been reported for optimization of transistor sizing and OTA's parameters in [6]. It is discussed in [7] that there is a trade-off between current and area size in the input stage of the bio-potential Analog Front End (AFE) due to the low-frequency characteristics of bio-potential signals and low constant input-referred noise required for the amplifiers. In most of the previouslypresented designs, there is a lack of systematic and comprehensive study on the effect of power consumption, circuit area, and biasing conditions on the low-frequency noise of the bio-potential amplifiers. Recently, new design methodologies for bio-potential amplifiers are presented [8].

In this paper, a new procedure for optimization of power-area for a simple differential amplifier is introduced for the first time. Here, it is assumed that the amplifier has a specific, input-referred noise. Then, a comprehensive analysis is presented to simultaneously minimize a powerarea optimizing function.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the optimization of the power-area of differential amplifiers is described, whereas the results from analysis and simulations are presented in Section 3. Finally, the conclusions are drawn in Section 4.

#### 2. Optimization of Area and Power

Different values of area sizes and bias current sof transistors at the input stage of an amplifier result in a specific, input-referred noise [7]. In addition, the need for specific input-referred noise levels results in a wide range of area sizing and bias currents for all transistors in complex amplifiers. Therefore, it is crucial to optimize the power-area of amplifiers for a constant input-referred noise.

Fig. 1 shows the schematic of a differential amplifier used to optimize the power-area.



Fig. 1. The differential amplifier used for power-area optimization.

For the fully differential amplifier shown, the total equivalent input-referred RMS noise voltage is given by:

$$S_{in}^2 = 2S_T^2 \tag{1}$$

Where  $S_T$  is defined by:

$$S_T^2 = S_{\nu_1}^2 + S_{\nu_3}^2. \tag{2}$$

Here,  $S_{vI}$  and  $S_{v3}$  are RMS voltage noises of  $Q_I$  and  $Q_3$ at the input of the amplifier. The values of  $S_{\nu I}$  and  $S_{\nu 3}$  are composed of flicker and thermal noise voltages. The primary flicker noise voltage is calculated by:

$$V_f = \frac{K(I_d, WL)}{f^{\beta}} \tag{3}$$

Where  $\beta$  is the constant parameter which is equal to 1 for PMOS transistors and it is near 1 for NMOS transistors.

 $S_{\nu I}$  and  $S_{\nu 3}$  are calculated in the AFE bandwidth from the low cut-off frequency  $(f_L)$  to the high cut-off frequency  $(f_H)$  and are given by:

$$S_{\nu 1}^{2} = \frac{k_{f_{1}}^{\prime\prime} \left(\frac{I_{d_{1}}}{g_{m_{1}}}\right)^{2}}{W_{1} L_{1}} Ln\left(\frac{f_{H}}{f_{L}}\right) + \frac{k_{T1}^{\prime}}{g_{m_{1}}} (f_{H} - f_{L})$$
And
$$(4)$$

$$S_{v3}^{2} = \left(\frac{k_{f3}^{''} \cdot \left(f_{H}^{1-\beta} - f_{L}^{1-\beta}\right)}{W_{3} \cdot L_{3} \cdot (1-\beta)} + \frac{k_{T3}^{'}}{g_{m3}} \cdot \left(f_{H} - f_{L}\right)\right) \left(\frac{g_{m3}}{g_{m1}}\right)^{2}$$
(5)

Here,  $\beta$  is a constant parameter and  $k_{f1}^{\prime\prime}$  and  $k_{f3}^{\prime\prime}$  are flicker noise coefficients of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$ , respectively. In both (4) and (5),  $k'_{T1}$  and  $k'_{T3}$  are constant thermal noise coefficients equal to  $\frac{8}{2}K_bT$  where  $K_b$  and T are Boltzmann's constant and temperature's value. In both (4) and (5), $g_{m1}$  and  $g_{m3}$  are transconductances of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$ . The parameter  $g_{m1}$  is estimated by the EKV model thus it is valid for all of the

inversion regions of the MOS transistor [11]. It is given by:

$$g_{m1} = \frac{\frac{2k}{v_t} I_{d1}}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4IC_1}} \tag{6}$$

Where  $V_t$  is the thermal voltage and k is the subthreshold gate coupling coefficient and has a typical value of 0.7 [9]. IC<sub>1</sub> is the inversion coefficient and is equal to  $I_{dl}/I_{sl}$ where  $I_{sl}$  is the moderate inversion characteristic current introduced in [10]:

$$= \frac{2\mu_1 C_{ox} V_t^2}{k} \left(\frac{W_1}{L_1}\right).$$
(7)

If *IC*<0.1, the device operates in weak inversion region and if  $0.1 \le IC \le 10$ , the device operates in the moderate inversion region. If IC>10, the device operates in strong inversion region.

In (5),  $g_{m3}$  is estimated by the simple above threshold model as

$$g_{m3} = \sqrt{2\mu_3 C_{ox} \frac{W_3}{L_3} I_{d1}}.$$
(8)

To find the optimized power and area size for a specific input-referred RMS noise voltage, the relationships between the area of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$  and their currents can be obtained from (4) and (5) as:

$$W_1 L_1 = \frac{A_1}{S_{\nu 1}^2} \tag{9}$$

and

Į

$$W_{3}L_{3} = \frac{A_{3}}{S_{v3}^{2}}$$
(10)

Where  $A_1$  and  $A_3$  are given by

$$A_{1} = \left(H_{1p}(1+X_{1})^{2} + H_{2p}\frac{L_{1}^{2}}{X_{1}-1}\right)$$
(11)

and

$$A_{3} = \left(H_{1n} + H_{2n}\frac{L_{3}^{2}}{X_{3}}\right)\left(\frac{(1+X_{1})^{2}}{X_{3}^{2}}\right).$$
 (12)

Here,  $H_{1p}$ ,  $H_{2p}$ ,  $H_{1n}$ , and  $H_{2n}$  are constant parameters given bv:

$$H_{1n} = \frac{k_{f_3}^{\prime\prime}(f_H^{1-\beta} - f_L^{1-\beta})}{k(1-\beta)},$$
(13)

$$H_{2n} = \frac{2k'_{T3}}{k^{1.5}} \frac{(f_H - f_L)V_t}{i_{s3}},$$
(14)

H<sub>1p</sub>

$$= k_{f1}^{\prime\prime} \left(\frac{V_t}{2k}\right)^2 \ln\left(\frac{f_H}{f_L}\right), \tag{15}$$

and

In (11) and (12),  $X_l$  and  $X_3$  are equal to  $\sqrt{1 + 4IC_1}$  and  $\sqrt{1 + 4IC_3}$ , respectively, where  $IC_3$  is the inversion coefficient of  $Q_3$ . In (14) and (16),  $i_{sl}$  and  $i_{s3}$  are constant parameters defined by:

$$i_{s1} = \frac{2\mu_1 C_{ox} V_t^2}{k}$$
, (17)  
and

$$=\frac{2\mu_{3}C_{0x}V_{t}^{2}}{k}.$$
(18)

According to (2), since the total equivalent input-referred RMS noise is comprised of  $S_{\nu 1}^2 \text{and} S_{\nu 3}^2$ , it is important to choose a suitable value for the noise contribution of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$ . In order to optimize the power versus area for a constant input-referred noise for the whole AFE, it is required to define a power-area product function. By solving the derivation of  $Ar_T I_{d1}^{\alpha_2}$  as the power-area product function with respect to  $S_{\nu 1}$ , where  $Ar_T$  is the total area size of  $Q_1$ and  $Q_3$  and  $\alpha_2$  is a constant optimization factor, the proportion of noise contributions is obtained as:

$$S_{v1}^{2} = S_{T}^{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{A_{1}}}{\sqrt{A_{1}} + \sqrt{A_{3}}}\right)$$
(19)  
and

$$S_{v3}^2 = S_T^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{A_3}}{\sqrt{A_1} + \sqrt{A_3}}\right).$$
 (20)

By substituting (19) and (20) in (9) and (10), we can find the total area of the transistors as a function of the equivalent input-referred RMS noise. The total area of amplifier as a function of  $S_T$  is equal to

$$Ar_{\rm T} = \frac{\left(\sqrt{A_1} + \sqrt{A_3}\right)^2}{S_{\rm T}^2}.$$
 (21)

It is presented in [7] that  $X_I$  is a function of  $L_I$  and it is independent from noise. Therefore,  $A_I$  in (21) is constant. The next step is to optimize the area-power product function,  $Ar_T I_1^{\alpha_2}$ , as a function of  $X_3$ . Solving the derivation of the area-power product function with respect to  $X_3$ , results in the following equation:

$$L_3^2(F) + L_3(G) + H$$
  
= 0. (22)

Here, F, G and H are constant parameters given by

$$F = \left(\frac{i_{s1}}{i_{s3}}\right) \left\{ \frac{A_1}{L_1^2} \left( \frac{2\alpha_2\gamma}{\alpha_2(1+3\gamma^2)+3(1+\gamma^2)} \right)^2 \left( \frac{X_1-1}{X_1+1} \right) \right\},$$
(23)

G

$$= -\left(H_{2n}\frac{L_1\sqrt{\frac{i_{s_3}}{i_{s_1}}}}{\gamma\sqrt{X_1^2 - 1}}\right),\tag{24}$$

and

$$H = -H_{1n},$$
(25)

where 
$$\gamma^2$$
 is a ratio of  $W_1L_1$  to  $W_3L_3$  given by

$$\gamma^{2} = \frac{\frac{L_{1}^{2}}{i_{s1}(X_{1}^{2}-1)}}{\frac{L_{3}^{2}}{i_{s3}(X_{3}^{2}-1)}} = \frac{\sqrt{A_{1}}}{\sqrt{A_{3}}}.$$
(26)

Now, by assuming an arbitrary value for  $\gamma$ , the proper value of  $L_3$  is obtained from (22) and in the next step  $X_3$  can be extracted from (26). By substituting  $L_1$ ,  $X_1$ ,  $L_3$  and  $X_3$  in (19), the value of  $S_{\nu 1}^2$  is obtained. Therefore, the width of the transistor  $Q_1$  is calculated by (9). Eventually,  $I_{d1}$  will be calculated in terms of

or

$$= \frac{W_3}{L_3} (X_3^2 - 1) \left(\frac{i_{53}}{4}\right).$$
(28)

In order to solve the derivation of power-area product respect to  $X_3$ , some simplifications are used, resulting in an error in calculations. The relative error of calculated  $\gamma$  can be defined as ERR and it is given by

$$\frac{ERR(\%)}{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma_{cal} - \gamma}{\gamma}.100$$
(29)

Where  $\gamma_{cal}$  is the calculated version of  $\gamma$  after the optimization is done and it is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\text{cal}} &= \sqrt{\frac{W_1 L_1}{W_3 L_3}}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

### 3. Simulation Results

In this section the results from (1) to (30) are calculated and

analyzed by numerical simulations. Finally, these results are validated by SPICE simulations. This section is divided into two parts. In part *A*, the numerical simulations are shown and results are analyzed. In part *B*, SPICE simulations are illustrated and the results from both parts are compared. All the simulations in this section are performed in a standard  $0.18\mu m$  CMOS technology.

#### A. Numerical simulations

In this section, all simulations are performed for a constant total equivalent input-referred RMS noises over 1mHz to 10kHz. Fig. 2 shows the total area of transistors  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$ in Fig. 2 (a) and the area size of transistor  $Q_1$  in Fig. 2 (b) as a function of total current for  $2.6\mu V_{rms}$ . The lengths of  $Q_1$ and  $Q_3$  are equal to  $4L_{min}$  and  $80L_{min}$  where  $L_{min}$  is defined as the transistor minimum length of CMOS technology. In this step, W/L of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$  are swept and the values which meet the noise requirement are selected. The resulting amplifier circuits with an input RMS value, up to 5% close to  $2.6\mu V_{rms}$  are selected from more than 100000 simulation points and their associated area-current points are placed in Fig. 2. The discontinuity of the graphs is due to the limited number of simulations. The minimum of the area size can be seen in Fig. 2 (a). The noise performance of the amplifier is dominated by transistor  $Q_l$ , located in the input stage of the amplifier. Therefore, it is realized from Fig. 2 (b) that the minimum area size is the result of  $Q_I$  as the input stage. If  $\alpha_2=0$ , there will be no value for  $L_3$  to satisfy (22). In other words, the minimum of total area of the amplifier is controlled by  $Q_{I}.S_{vI}$  and  $S_{v3}$  versus current are depicted in Fig. 3.  $S_{VI}$  is approximately equal to  $1.2\mu V_{rms}$  in low current values. Furthermore, it is seen that by increasing  $IC_1$ ,  $I_d$  and  $g_{ml}$  increase. Therefore, the thermal noise voltage of  $Q_l$ 

decreases and the flicker noise voltage of  $Q_I$  should be increased since  $S_{vI}$  is constant.

According to Fig. 2(b), it can be seen that the area size of  $Q_1$  decreases by increasing the flicker noise contribution of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$  is changed because of an abrupt increase and decrease in the values of  $g_{m2}$  and  $g_{m1}$ , respectively. The area of  $Q_1$  increases at high current values due to an abrupt decrease in the value of  $S_{v1}$ .

The values of  $L_3/L_{min}$  versus  $IC_3$  for various values of  $\alpha_2$ , 2.0 $\mu V_{rms}$  input referred noise, and  $L_1=2L_{min}$  is illustrated in Fig. 4. Regions A and B show the strong and moderate inversion regions. Therefore, it can be seen that  $Q_r$  operates in moderate and strong inversion regions for all values of  $\alpha_2$ .

Fig. 5 shows the current consumption and total area size of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  versus  $\gamma$  for various values of  $\alpha_1$ , which has been defined in [7] as the optimization factor of the input stage of the amplifier.  $L_3/L_{min}$  versus  $\gamma$  is illustrated in Fig. 6. It can be realized that by increasing the value of  $\gamma$ , the optimum value for the length of  $Q_3$  increases, as shown in (22). It is obvious that by increasing  $\alpha_l$ , the optimized current is reduced. If the value of  $\alpha_1$  increases the value of optimized current decreases because the value of  $\alpha_1$ determines  $X_1$  and the optimum proportion between area and current. As demonstrated in (22), the value of  $L_3$ changes by  $X_l$ . Therefore, according to Fig. 6, a smaller  $\alpha_l$ leads to a smaller  $L_3$ . Also an increase in  $\gamma$  results an abrupt increase in the optimized value of  $L_3$ . The highlighted parts in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 show appropriate regions for the value of y.



Fig. 2. Area versus current for 2.6µV<sub>rms</sub> input referred noise. a: total area of Q1 and Q3, b: Area of Q1.



Fig. 3. Noise contribution of transistors  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$  ( $S_{\nu 1}$  and  $S_{\nu 3}$ ) versus current for 2.6 $\mu$ V<sub>rms</sub> input referred noise.



Fig. 4.  $L_3/L_{min}$  ratio versus IC<sub>3</sub> for various  $\alpha_2$  values,  $2.0\mu V_{rms}$ input referred noise, and  $L_1=2.L_{min}$ .



Fig. 5. (a) Total area of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$ .(b):Current of  $Q_1$  versus  $\gamma$  for various  $\alpha_1$  values  $\alpha_2$ =2.5,  $L_1$ =2. $L_{min}$  and input referred noise is 2.0 $\mu V_{rms}$ .



Fig.6.  $L_3/L_{min}$  versus  $\gamma$  for various  $\alpha_1$  values for  $2.0\mu V_{rms}$  input referred noise.



Fig. 7. Error percent of calculated  $\gamma$  versus  $\alpha_2$ .



Fig. 8. *W/L* of transistors *Q1* and *Q3* versus  $\gamma$  for  $\alpha 2=2.5, \alpha 1=0$ and  $2.0\mu Vrms$  input referred noise.



Figure 9.  $L_3/L_{min}$  versus  $L_1/L_{min}$  for various  $\alpha_1$  and  $\gamma$  values for 2.0 $\mu$ V<sub>rms</sub> input referred noise.



Fig. 10. Total area of the amplifier versus current consumption for  $2.0\mu V$  rms input referred noise,  $L_3=60.L_{min}$  and  $L_1=4.L_{min}$ .

The relative error of the calculated  $\gamma$  versus  $\alpha 2$  is depicted in Fig. 7. The error becomes higher than 30% for a smaller  $\alpha 2$  and if  $\alpha 2$  is selected higher than 2.5 then the error becomes smaller than 10%. W/L of Q1 and Q3 versus  $\gamma$  is shown in Fig. 8. It is obvious that for all the assumed values of the length of Q1, W/L of Q1 and Q3 are obtained so that Q1 and Q3 operate in weak and strong inversion regions. High W/L values cause the gate-source voltage of Q1 to drop. As a result, the transistor operates in moderate or weak inversion regions. On the contrary, Q2 tends to operate in moderate and strong inversion. Therefore, in order to operate in those regions at a constant current, it is required to increase the gate-source voltage by decreasing W2/L2.

Selecting an appropriate value for  $L_1$  is very important because the proper value of  $L_2$  is directly influenced by  $L_1$ , as predicated by(22);thus, the optimized  $L_3$  is a function of  $L_1$ . An increase in  $L_1$  results in an increase in  $L_3$ . The variations of  $L_3/L_{min}$  versus  $L_1/L_{min}$  are shown in Fig. 9 for various values of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\gamma$ . In order to get rid of high optimized channel length values for  $Q_3$ , it is essential to choose a lower  $L_1$ .

#### B. SPICE simulations

In this part SPICE simulations are invoked to validate the numerical simulations. All the simulations are performed in a standard  $0.18\mu m$  CMOS technology. Firstly, the parameters  $\alpha_I$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $L_I$  are assumed to be arbitrary values and  $L_3$  is calculated. Then, the current values and W/L of transistors are swept widely and the RMS voltage of the input-referred noise is calculated. Finally, all the points which meet the noise specification are extracted. Fig. 10 shows the area versus current for  $L_I=4.L_{min}$  and  $L_3=60.L_{min}$  for an RMS input-referred noise voltage equal to  $2\mu V$ . The discontinuities in the graph are due to the limited number of simulation points.

It is overtly clear that there is a trade-off between the area versus the current. The trend of the whole circuit is followed by the input stage. The areas of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$  rise abruptly to obtain a constant noise performance for small currents.



Fig. 11. The power spectral density of the input-referred noise of the amplifier.



Fig. 12. The frequency response of the amplifier.

By following all the equations from the previous section and assuming that L1=2.Lmin,  $\gamma$ =1.5,  $\alpha$ 1=0.3 and  $\alpha$ 2=5 the length value of Q3 is obtained by (22), therefore L3=110.Lmin, W1/L1=4400, W3/L3=0.5 and current value of Q1 is equal to  $22\mu$ A. The total area of Q1 and Q3 is equal to 0.8E-9m2. The power spectral density of the input noise of the whole amplifier is illustrated in Fig. 11 and the frequency response of the amplifier is shown in Fig. 12. The unity gain bandwidth of amplifier with load capacitance equal to 5pF is equal to 12.7MHz and the DC gain of amplifier is equal to 39dB. The input-referred noise of amplifier over 1mHz to 10kHz is equal to 2.4 $\mu$ Vrms.

# 4. Conclusion

An analytical method for optimization of a differential amplifier has been presented and the results were compared with those obtained from SPICE simulations. This amplifier was designed in 0.18µm CMOS technology and both flicker and thermal noise voltages have been considered in the circuit model and the simulations. The inversion coefficients, current values, width of transistors, and the optimum length value of transistors are obtained for a specific RMS input-referred noise voltage over the frequency range of 1mHz to 10kHz. In order to achieve a high performance for acquisition of bio-potential signals, it is essential to bias the input stage transistor and the load transistor in weak or moderate inversion and strong inversion regions, respectively. Finally, the power spectral noise density and the frequency response of the amplifier were derived and presented.

## References

- R. F. Yazicioglu, P. Merken, R. Puers, and C. Van Hoof, "A 60 μW 60 nV/√Hz readout front-end for portable biopotential acquisition systems," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1100 – 1110, May 2007.
- [2] R. R. Harrison, "A versatile integrated circuit for the acquisition of biopotentials," *IEEE Custom Integrated Circuits Conference (CICC)*, pp. 115-122, Sep. 2007.
- [3] D. M. Binkley, B. J. Blalock, and J. M. Rochelle. "Optimizing drain current, inversion level, and channel length in analog CMOS design," *Analog Integrated Circuits and Signal Processing*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp.137-163, 2006.
- [4] D. Flandre, A. Viviani, J.-P. Eggermont, B. Gentinne, and P. G. A. Jespers, "Improved synthesis of gainboosted regulated-cascode CMOS stages using symbolic analysis and gm/ID methodology," *IEEE Journal o f Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 1006-1012, 1997.
- [5] O. Pinto, R. L., M. C. Schneider, and C. G. Montoro, "Sizing of MOS transistors for amplifier design," in

Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, vol. 4, pp. 185-188, Geneva, 2000.

- [6] X. Xie, M. C. Schneider, S. H. K. Embabi, and E. Sanchez-Sinencio, "Optimal design of low power nested gm-C compensation amplifiers using a current-based MOS transistor model," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*, vol. 2, pp. 29-32. 1998.
- [7] M. Iman, S. J. Ashtiani, and N. Masoumi, "Optimizing power-area for constant input-referred noise level in MOSFETs," in *European Conference* on Circuit Theory and Design, ECCTD 2009, pp. 507-510, 2009.
- [8] H. Rezaee Dehsorkh, N. Ravanshad, R. Lotfi, K. Mafinezhad and A.M. Sodagar, "Analysis and design of tunable amplifiers for implantable neural recording applications," *IEEE J. Emerging and Selected Topics in Circuits and Systems*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 546-556, 2011.
- [9] R. R. Harrison and C. Charles, "A low-power lownoise CMOS amplifier for neural recording applications," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 958–965, Jun. 2003.
- [10] Y. Tsividis, Operation and Modeling of the MOS Transistor, 2nd ed., Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill, 1998.
- [11] B. Matthias, C. Lallement, C. Enz, and F. Krummenacher, "Accurate MOS modeling for analog circuit simulation using the EKV model," *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*, vol. 4, pp. 703-706. 1996.

I. Madadi, photograph and biography not available at time of publication.



Shahin J. Ashtiani received the B.Sc. (with honors) and M.Sc. degrees from the University of Tehran, Iran in 1998 and 2001, respectively in electronics engineering. He received the Ph.D. degree from University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada in 2007. His Ph.D. project was on driver circuits for active-matrix organic light-emitting diode (AMOLED) displays. From 2001 to 2003 he was

with Valence Semiconductor Inc. as an analog design engineer, working on low-power, high-speed analog-to-digital converters. Since 2008, he is an assistant professor at the school of electrical and computer engineering, University of Tehran, Iran. His current research interests are biomedical instrumentation and low-power data converters.



**Nasser Masoumi** (S'90–M'96) received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees from University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran, in 1988 and 1990, respectively, both in electrical and computer engineering, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical and computer engineering from University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada, in 2001.

Dr. Masoumi joined the School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Tehran, in 1991. He is currently an Associate Professor, the head of Department of Electronics, and founder of RF Circuits and Systems and Test, and Advanced VLSI Laboratories, in the University of Tehran. He also has been President of Science and Technology Park of University of Tehran from 2005 to 2006.

The main topics of his research has focused on: interconnects, nano-wires, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), VDSM and nano VLSI circuits and systems design, CAD for VLSI, on-chip crosstalk, signal integrity and reliability issues, and substrate coupling. His research interests also include modeling and synthesis of RF/microwave circuits, spiral inductors, power amplifier design, CMOS high performance and low-power analog and digital design.

Dr. Masoumi has been the head of Department of Electronics in School of ECE, College of Eng., University of Tehran since 2009. He is a member of several internationally recognized scientific and industrial organizations and journals. He has also served as a scientific committee member for many conferences and symposiums.